

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/429,419 | 10/28/1999 | PAUL J. SMALSER | OPT-32U | 5909 |
| 7590 | 0 06/10/2002 | | | |
| MICHAEL Y EPSTEIN | | | EXAMINER | |
| 387 KING ST. | | | GONZALEZ, JULIO C | |
| STE. H CHARLESTON, | CC 20402 | | 3311222 | 3,00000 |

2834 DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | 09/429,419 | SMALSER ET AL | SMALSER ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | Ne | | | |
| | Julio C. Gonzalez | 2834 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ears on the cover shee | t with the correspondence ad | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 66(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) N cause the application to become | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this or a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja | anuary 2002 . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | | e merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) $9-20$ is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | minici. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | priority under 25 LLC (| C | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 O.S. | 3. 9 119(a)-(u) or (r). | | | | |
| | hava haan maasiyad | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | a Application No | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | | | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) |)). | Stage | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(e) (to a provisional | application). | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | 00 | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(of Informal Patent Application (PTo | | | | |

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is a supplemental non-final action, which substitutes previously final action. Period is been re-started with this office action.

Specification

V 3/

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 6, the work "stoke". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. In page 4, applicant discloses that the "natural energy conversion efficiency can easily be a factor of ten". What is this factor compared to? Was it calculated? How?

Applicant is required to provide a clarification of these matters or correlation with art-accepted terminology so that a proper comparison with the prior art can be made.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

What composes the collecting mechanism? The load since it absorbs energy or the motor 14? Is the energy collected in a device?



Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Syverson in view of Mikhail et al.

Syverson discloses an operating system for generating electrical power for a source of input energy occurring at variable rates including a first rate at which the energy is safely captured and at a second rate which is captured by varying the impedance of the load for increasing the output current from the generator for increasing the mechanical impedance of the generator (column 5, lines 31-37, see also figures 5 and 8).

However, Syverson does not disclose explicitly that the generator's mechanical impedance is controlled.

On the other hand, Mikhail et al discloses, explicitly, for the purpose of providing a stable turbine system that the generator mechanical impedance is controlled (column 5, lines 35-42).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a operating system for a generating system as disclosed by Syverson and to modify the invention by directly controlling the generator for the purpose of providing a stable turbine system as disclosed by Mikhail et al.

Art Unit: 2834

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/18/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Syverson discloses that the generator's speed is varied (column 5, lines 32-38). Anybody with ordinary skill in the art would know that by varying the speed of the generator, the impedance of the generator is varied, too. Also, the current of the generator is sensed (column 6, lines 60-65) and reduced in the generator (column 6, lines 47-51 & column 9, lines 18-25). Moreover, the generator is slowed when needed (column 5, lines 38-40 & column 7, lines 19-22). Also, the generator is disclosed to continuously run since the shut down feature can be applied if desired (column 5, line 48). Syverson discloses using a load to slow the speed of the generator by controlling the current (column 6, lines 10, 38-42). Also, a device for sensing the speed of the generator is disclosed (column 6, lines 60-66).

Also, according to the Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, continuous means uninterrupted in time. How long is continuous? Claims of applicant's invention are not specific enough. Syverson discloses that the invention disclosed can run up to thirty minutes (column 8, lines 28-38) or to a desired time. The claims do not disclose specifically how continuous is the invention or for how long can the applicant's invention

Art Unit: 2834

run. Moreover, Syverson discloses that the device is able to run for over extended period of times (see abstract).

9. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., continued operation of the system, the braking been applied in proportion to a sensed variable parameter) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

NESTOR RAMIREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Jcg TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

May 28, 2002